

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,731	06/21/2000	Roy R. Weidman	13368	7041
. 7	590 09/11/2002			
Paul J Esatto Jr Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			EXAMINER	
			LE, DANG D	
Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/598,731	WEIDMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dang D Le	2834			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	July 2002				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10,16,17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>3,6,11-15,18,19 and 21</u> is/are objected		•			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	·				
11) 🗀 🗆	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
''/	The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ved by the Examiner.			
12) 🗆 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Similar.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 H.S.C. \$ 440(a)	(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).			
عار ۵		hove been received				
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>		ua Nia			
		, ,				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.	visional application has been rece	eived.			
Attachment			GUG VI IZI.			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 7/30/02 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/598731 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/30/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument is on the ground that the tubular members (33) being proximate to the conducting bars (35) because Endress et al. do not show the tubular members (33) being embedded in the conducting bars (35) and that the internal conduit being integrally formed in the rotor bars.

It is noted that Endress et al. show the tubular members (33) being embedded in the conducting bars (35) in column 2, lines 55-57. Also see Figures 1-3. It is further noted that the internal conduits (33) of Endress et al. are integrally formed in the rotor bars (35) because they are embedded in the conducting bars. An armrest can even be said integrally formed with the wheels of a chair although the armrest is bolted down to the frame of the chair and the wheels are mounted underneath.

As a result, the rejection is deemed proper and repeated herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2834

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Endress et al.

Regarding claim 1, Endress et al. show a rotating machine (Figures 1-3) comprising:

- A rotating shaft (11);
- A plurality of conductive rotor bars (35) spaced from the rotating shaft and fixed thereto through at least one intermediate member (10), at least one of the plurality of conductive rotor bars having at least one first internal conduit (33) integrally formed therein; and
- Circulation means (15) for establishing a coolant circulation through the first internal conduit.

Regarding claim 9, it is noted that Endress et al. also show the first internal conduit being cylindrical (Figure 6) and located at an area of increased cross-section (Figure 2) of each conductive rotor bar having the at least one first internal conduit.

Regarding claim 20, it is noted that Endress et al. also show the at least one intermediate member (10) comprising a plurality of parallel stacked laminates, each laminate having a central bore for acceptance of the rotating shaft (11) therein and a slot (Figures 2, 6 and 8) corresponding to each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars for acceptance of each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2834

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endress et al. in view of Butterfield et al.

Regarding claim 2, Endress et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the rotating shaft having a first wall defining a second internal conduit extending from an inlet end to an outlet end thereof, the rotating shaft further having first and second coolant holes in the first wall and communicating with the second internal conduit, wherein the coolant is circulated through the first internal conduit from the second internal conduit by way of the first and second coolant holes.

Butterfield et al. show the rotating shaft (11) having a first wall defining a second internal conduit extending from an inlet end (left side, inner, Figure 1) to an outlet end (left side, outer, Figure 1) thereof, the rotating shaft further having first and second coolant holes (not shown at right side, 23) in the wall and communicating with the second internal conduit, wherein the coolant is circulated through the first internal conduit (21) from the second internal conduit (18) by way of the first and second coolant holes for the purpose of improving heat transfer.

Since Endress et al. and Butterfield et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the rotating shaft having a first wall defining a second internal conduit extending from an inlet end to an outlet end thereof, the rotating shaft further having first and second coolant holes in the wall and communicating with the second internal conduit, wherein the coolant is circulated through the first internal conduit from the second internal conduit by way of the first and second coolant holes as taught by Butterfield et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 16, it is noted that Butterfield et al. also show a circulation conduit (Figure 2) connecting the inlet end of the rotating shaft to the outlet end of the rotating shaft; and Endress et al. also show a pump (15) disposed in a fluid path of the circulation conduit for establishing a coolant flow into the inlet end, through the first and second internal conduits for each conductive rotor bar having the at least one first internal conduit, and out the outlet end.

Regarding claim 17, it is noted that Endress et al. also show a heat exchanger (17) disposed in the fluid path of the circulation conduit for removing heat from the coolant flowing therein.

7. Claims 4, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endress et al. in view of Newhouse.

Regarding claim 4, Endress et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars having the at least one second internal conduit.

Art Unit: 2834

Newhouse shows each of the plurality of conductive rotor poles having the at least one second internal conduit (44, Figure 3) for the purpose of increasing heat transfer.

Since Endress et al. and Newhouse are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to increase in each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars with the at least one second internal conduit as taught by Newhouse for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Newhouse also shows the at least one first internal conduit comprises two first internal conduits (44, Figure 3), and Endress et al. also show the conduits extending from the first to second end of the conductive rotor bars.

Regarding claim 10, it is noted that Newhouse also shows each of the two first internal conduits (44) being cylindrical, and Endress et al. also show at least one of which is located at an area of increased cross-section of each conductive rotor bar (Figure 2) having the two first internal conduits.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endress et al. in view of Rank et al.

Regarding claim 7, Endress et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention including the means for sealingly fixing the first and second ends of each

Art Unit: 2834

conductive rotor bar (35) having the at least one first internal conduit (33) comprising a brazed joint (die casting) at the juncture between each of the first and second ends (left and right) of each conductive rotor bar having the at least one first internal conduit and their respective end plate (37). Endress et al. do not show the plurality of conductive rotor bars and first and second end plates being fabricated from aluminum

Rank et al. show the plurality of conductive rotor bars (48) and first and second end plates (50, 52) being fabricated from aluminum for the purpose of making a squirrel cage rotor.

Since Endress et al. and Rank et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the plurality of conductive rotor bars and first and second end plates of aluminum as taught by Rank et al. for the purpose discussed above.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endress et al. in view of Rank et al. as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Belt et al.

Regarding claim 8, the rotating machine of Endress et al. modified by Rank et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brazed joint comprising a salts brazed joint.

Belt et al. show the brazed joint comprising a salts brazed joint for the purpose of improving the flow behavior of the solder.

Art Unit: 2834

Since Endress et al., Rank et al., and Belt et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the brazed joint with a salts brazed joint as taught by Belt et al. for the purpose discussed above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claim 27 is allowed.
- 11. Claims 3, 6, 11-15, 18, 19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the record of prior art does not show a rotating machine with each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars having a first and second end, the at least one first internal conduit extending from the first to second end; the rotating machine further comprising:
  - A first end plate having a first bore in which the rotating shaft is sealingly fixed in proximity to the first coolant hole, the first end plate further having means for sealingly fixing the first end of each conductive rotor bar having the at least one first internal conduit thereto, the first end plate further having a third internal conduit for each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars having the at

Art Unit: 2834

least one first internal conduit for providing communication between the first coolant hole and the first end of the first internal conduit; and

- A second end plate having a second bore in which the rotating shaft is sealingly fixed in proximity to the second cooling hole, the second end plate further having means for sealingly fixing the second end of each conductive rotor bar having the at least one first internal conduit thereto, the second end plate further having a fourth internal conduit for each of the plurality of conductive rotor bars having the at least one first internal conduit for providing communication between the second coolant hole and the second end of the first internal conduit;
- Wherein the circulation of coolant is established through the first, second, third, and fourth internal conduits for each conductive rotor bar having the at least one second internal conduit as shown in claims 3 and 27.

#### Information on How to Contact USPTO

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 308-7382 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2834

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL September 10, 2002

11

Lang S. C